The journal *Zarządzanie Przedsiębiorstwem* / *Enterprise Management*: Principles of Publication Ethics

The journal operates in accordance with the principles of publication ethics, the aim of which is to prevent dishonest publishing practices. In particular, it follows the guidelines *of the* Committee *on Publication Ethics* (COPE – https://publicationethics.org).

Articles accepted for publication in the journal must not breach the principles of scientific integrity and honesty, nor the ethical principles and standards applicable in academia. Compliance with the principles of publication ethics encompasses both the actions of the publisher and the responsibilities of the authors.

Prior to acceptance, submissions to the Journal are assessed for compliance with the principles of publication ethics, scientific rigour and academic merit.

Submission of a manuscript by the authors constitutes acceptance of the rules governing the publication process. All manuscripts submitted to the editorial board are subject to a peer-review process.

Rules applicable to the Publisher and Editors

The Journal ensures compliance with applicable publishing standards and principles of publishing ethics, and prevents practices that are inconsistent with accepted standards, in particular:

- The journal adheres to the principles of equality; the authors' race, gender, religion, origin, nationality or political views do not in any way influence the assessment of manuscripts. Manuscripts are assessed solely on their merit.
- The criteria for accepting manuscripts for publication include the opinions of at least two reviewers regarding the scientific merit of the work, the originality of the approach to the research problem, and the correctness of terminology and methodology.
- The Publisher and Editors do not disclose any information regarding works submitted for publication to unauthorised persons. Those authorised to have access to this information are: the author, appointed reviewers, editors and other persons involved in the publishing process.
- Should a decision be taken to reject a manuscript for publication, it may not be used in any way by the editorial team without the authors' written consent.
- The publisher reserves the right to retract a publication after it has been published if there is evidence of a lack of reliability in the research results and/or data falsification, as well as in the event of unintentional errors (e.g. methodological errors, calculation errors) or if the work bears the hallmarks of plagiarism or breaches the principles of publication ethics.

Rules for Authors

Submission of a manuscript by the authors constitutes acceptance of the rules governing the publication process. All manuscripts submitted to the editorial board are subject to a peer-review process.

- The author is obliged to provide the publisher with an original work, which must not constitute plagiarism or self-plagiarism in any part.

- The author warrants that the copyright in the work, as transferred to the publisher on the date of its acceptance by the publisher, will not be restricted by any third-party rights, and that the publisher's use of and disposal of the work will not infringe upon personal rights or the rights of third parties. Any research, data or information used that originates from other authors must be clearly marked as quotations. Plagiarism and the manipulation or falsification of data are prohibited.
- The author is obliged to present the course of the research conducted faithfully and accurately, and to interpret the results obtained impartially. The text should contain information enabling the identification of data sources and allowing the research to be replicated. Unethical presentation or interpretation of data and research results is unacceptable and may lead to the retraction of the publication.
- Data sharing policy: An author who is asked to provide the raw data used in the publication is obliged to ensure access to this data, even after the paper has been published.
- Rules on authorship: Only texts written independently by the author(s) may be included in the publication process. In the case of collective works, the author submitting the work for publication is obliged to specify the authorship of individual sections of the work.
- Practices such as ghostwriting and guest authorship constitute a breach of academic integrity. Any such cases that come to light should be disclosed and reported to the relevant institutions, including the authors' employers, scientific societies or organisations representing academic editors.
- The principle of accurate citation of sources: The author is obliged to list in the bibliography all publications and materials used in the preparation of the text.
- Should an author notice a fundamental error or inaccuracy in their work, they are obliged to inform the editorial team of this fact without delay.
- The author is obliged to adhere to the deadlines set by the Editorial Board during the publication process.

Rules for reviewers

Article reviewers are familiar with the rules governing the publication process and, by undertaking a review, undertake to comply with them:

- All materials submitted for review, as well as the content of the reviews themselves, are confidential. Disclosing the texts under review or any related information to third parties is not permitted, except to those directly involved in the publication process.
- Reviewers are obliged to prepare and submit their reviews by the agreed deadline. Should a reviewer be unable to undertake a review or meet the deadline (for substantive, time-related or other reasons), they should inform the publisher or the Editorial Board without delay.
- The review should be impartial, substantive and based on scientific arguments. Personal criticism of the author is unacceptable. All

comments and assessments made by the reviewer should be clearly justified and relate to the content of the paper or selected elements thereof. The review should be prepared in accordance with the review form provided by the Editorial Board.

- Reviewers undertake to assess only those texts in relation to which they possess the relevant knowledge and expertise to enable a reliable and objective evaluation of the works submitted.
- Where necessary, the reviewer should identify relevant academic works related to the subject matter of the text under review which have not been taken into account by the author. They are also obliged to report to the publisher any significant similarities they observe between the text under review and other publications.
- A reviewer must not use the information contained in the text under review for their own purposes or benefit. They should decline to write a review where there is an actual or potential conflict of interest with the author or the subject matter of the work.
- The review process is conducted as a double-blind review. Reviewers do not know the identity of the authors or their affiliations, and authors do not know the details of the reviewers.
- Manuscripts undergoing the peer-review process, in particular those that have received a negative review, may not be used in any way by the reviewer without the author's prior written consent.
- Reviewers are obliged to inform the Editorial Board of any suspected breaches of publication ethics, good research practice or other irregularities relating to the manuscript under review.
- To ensure the transparency of the peer-review process, reviewers carry out their duties without remuneration.